



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
110/11, 6, 641	91730 98	Q. F. F. F. A. S	production of	- 7	
EDWART 3 IRONS		Him F2:70(4,2.2	つ [EXAMINER Verified in Equation 1	
			_		
- 3945 b book - MasHibition	STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
23) 462) 1 C (() C) 4 J/M	Albert Lifett (140)			15.1	.21
			•	DATE MAILED:	ud 70:77 án

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/016,641

Gately

Examiner

Jean F Vollano

Group Art Unit 1621



٦	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) X expires6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
b	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
Σ	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X will not be entered because:
	Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The newly added claim as written is reacting a -CH metalide C5 ring with a metallocene ligand in step (ii)
	which can be a C5 ring with a CH group . They both seemingly are the same type of compound. The newly
	added amine formula is incorrect. The dependent claims are still dependent on claim 16 not 17.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected: 10-12 and 16
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
X	The proposed drawing correction filed on
	the amine compounds. The amendment if full one 112, 2 problems.